WYC:lmp 60109 12/19/03

FROM-DIGIMARC

PATENT

hindsight - from the teaching of the present disclosure, rather than from any suggestion fairly drawn from the prior art.

Moreover, the Examiner repeatedly cites generic teachings from the art, and relies on same as rendering unpatentable certain specific arrangements applicants have claimed.

For example, the Examiner argues "Kenny does not limit the type of product that is present on its list, therefore, the items that were not selected from a list presented to the user could include any number of products including descris or cookies."

While it is true that a species is sufficient to render obvious a genus, it is not true that a genus is sufficient to render obvious a species.

Again, the Examiner is invited to reconsider the application to see if all of the rejections are of the sort he believes the Board will sustain.

Date: December 19, 2003

Phone: 503-885-9699 FAX 503-885-9880

Respectfully submitted,

DIGIMARC.CORPORATION

Registration No. 31,943